1	Rena Andoh (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) randoh@sheppardmullin.com.com	Douglas E. Lumish (SBN 183863) doug.lumish@lw.com	
2	SHEPPÄRD, MULLIN, RICHTER HAMPTON LLP	R & Gabriel Gross (SBN 254672) gabe.gross@lw.com	
3	30 Rockefeller Plaza	Arman Zahoory (SBN 306421) arman.zahoory@lw.com	
4	New York, NY 10112 Telephone: (212) 653-8700	Ryan T. Banks (SBN 318171) ryan.banks@lw.com	
5	Lai L. Yip (SBN 258029)	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 140 Scott Drive	
6	lyip@sheppardmullin.com Four Embarcadero Center, 17 th Floor	Menlo Park, CA 94025	
7	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 434-9100	Telephone: (650) 328-4600 Facsimile: (650) 463-2600	
8	Travis J. Anderson (SBN 265540)	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant SKYRYSE, INC.	
9	tanderson@sheppardmullin.com	Counterclaimant Six 11(15E, 11(C.	
10	12275 El Camino Real, Suite 100 San Diego, CA 92130		
11	Telephone: (858) 720-8900		
12	Kazim A. Naqvi (SBN 300438) knaqvi@sheppardmullin.com		
13	1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1600 Los Angeles, CA 90067		
14	Telephone: (310) 228-3700		
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant MOOG INC.		
16	Counterderendant WOOG INC.		
17			
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
19	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
20	MOOG INC.,	Case No. 2:22-cv-09094-GW-MAR	
21	Plaintiff,	IOINT CTIDIII ATION TO EVDAND	
22	Fiamuri,	JOINT STIPULATION TO EXPAND WORD LIMITS ON PLAINTIFF AND	
23	V.	COUNTERDEFENDANT MOOG INC.'S FORTHCOMING MOTION	
24	SKYRYSE, INC., ROBERT	TO DISMISS COUNTERCLAIMS AND DEFENDANT AND	
25	ALIN PILKINGTON, MISOOK KIM, and DOES NOS.1-50,	COUNTERCLAIMANT SKYRYSE, INC.'S OPPOSITION THERETO	
26	Defendants.	Complaint Filed: March 7, 2022	
27	Defendants.	Complaint Filed: March 7, 2022 Counterclaims Filed: January 30, 2023	
28			

SMRH:4872-5622-7664.1 -1-

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiff Moog Inc. ("Plaintiff") and Defendants Skyryse, Inc. ("Skyryse") (collectively with Plaintiff, the "Parties") through their respective attorneys of record, as follows: WHEREAS, on March 7, 2022, Moog filed its Complaint (Dkt. 1); WHEREAS, on January 30, 2023, Skyryse filed its Answer, Defenses, and Counterclaims in response to Moog's Complaint (Dkt. 350); WHEREAS, Moog intends to file a Motion to Dismiss Skyryse's Counterclaims pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (the "Motion to Dismiss"); WHEREAS, L.R. 11-6.1 provides that "[e]xcept as otherwise provided in this rule or ordered by a judge," "no memorandum of authorities, pretrial brief, trial brief, or posttrial brief may exceed 7,000 words, including headings, footnotes, and quotations but excluding the caption, the table of contents, the table of authorities, the signature block, the certification required by L.R. 11-6.2, and any indices and exhibits"; WHEREAS, in its Motion to Dismiss, Moog intends to move to dismiss all nine of Skyryse's counterclaims, including by addressing conflict of law issues, statute of limitations issues, and documents attached to and otherwise referenced in Skyryse's Counterclaims; WHEREAS, Moog contends that it requires more than the 7,000 words as prescribed under L.R. 11-6.1 in its Motion to Dismiss; WHEREAS, the Parties have stipulated and agreed that word limit for Moog's Motion to Dismiss, and Skyryse's opposition thereto, is expanded to 9,000 words including headings, footnotes, and quotations but excluding the caption, the table of contents, the table of authorities, the signature block, the certification required by L.R. 11-6.2, and any indices and exhibits; and WHEREAS, the Parties have stipulated and agreed that Moog's reply in support of its Motion to Dismiss will be limited to 7,000 words as prescribed under

L.R. 11-6.1.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

NOW THEREFORE, subject to the Court's approval, the Parties stipulate	
and agree that the word limit for Moog's Motion to Dismiss, and Skyryse's	
Opposition thereto, is expanded to 9,000 words, and that Moog's reply in support	
of its Motion to Dismiss will be limited to 7,000 words as prescribed under L.R.	
11-6.1.	
IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
Dated: February 14, 2023	
SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER &	
HAMPTON LLP	
By: /s/ Kazim A. Naqvi	
Kazim A. Naqvi	
Counsel for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant Moog Inc.	
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP	
By: /s/ Gabriel S. Gross	
Gabriel S. Gross Counsel for Defendant and Counterclaimant	
Skyryse, Inc.	
<u>ATTESTATION</u>	
Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-4.3.4, I, Kazim A. Naqvi, attest that	
concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained by all its signatories.	

SMRH:4872-5622-7664.1 -3-